1.	BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION SECRETARIAT		
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	In the Matter of MUR 5619 TENAFLY DEMOCRATIC CAMPAIGN 2004 CASE CLOSURE UNDER THE ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY SYSTEM SENSITIVE		
10			
11	GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT		
12	Under the Enforcement Priority System, matters that are low-rated		
13	and are deemed inappropriate for review by the Alternative Dispute Resolution		
14	Office are forwarded to the Commission with a recommendation for dismissal. The		
15	Commission has determined that pursuing low-rated matters compared to other higher rated		
16	matters on the Enforcement docket warrants the exercise of its prosecutorial discretion to		
17	dismiss these cases.		
18	The Office of General Counsel scored MUR 5619 as a low-rated matter. In this case,		
19	the allegations centered on the placement of a Kerry Edwards sign adjacent to the		
20	respondents' billboard, which also contained a Kerry Edwards sticker. Additionally, a direct		
21	mail advertisement paid for by the respondents contained a check-off for "John Kerry for		
22	President," in addition to the other candidates running for state and federal office, but		
23	allegedly lacked an adequate disclaimer. In light of the de minims nature of the allegations		
24	and reviewing the merits of MUR 5619 in furtherance of the Commission's priorities and		
25	resources relative to other matters pending on the Enforcement docket, the Office of General		
26	Counsel believes that the Commission should exercise its prosecutorial discretion and		
27	dismiss the matter. See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985).		

¹ This Office conferred with the Alternative Dispute Resolution Office and concluded the matter was inappropriate for further review.

RECOMMENDATION

1

29

30

31 32 Attachment:

Narrative in MUR 5619

2	The Office of General Counsel recommends that the Commission dismiss MUR		
3	5619, close the file effective two weeks from the date of the Commission vote, and approve		
4	the appropriate letters. Closing the case as of this date will allow CELA and General Law		
5	and Advice the necessary time to prepare the closing letters and the case file for the public		
6	record.		
7			
8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 22 23 24 25 26 27	11/3/05 Date BY:	James A. Kahl Deputy General Counsel Gregory R. Baker Special Counsel Complaints Examination & Legal Administration Jeff S. Jordan Supervisory Attorney Complaints Examination & Legal Administration	
) Ω			

32

33 34

1 2 3 4 5 **MUR 5619** 6 7 Complainant: Jeffrey K. Thompson 8 9 10 **Respondents:** Tenafly Democratic Campaign 2004 & 11 Dan Kornfeld, Treasurer 12 Kerry-Edwards 2004 Inc. 13 Patrick J. Rouse 14 Shama Haider 15 16 Allegations: The complainant alleges that New Jersey state candidates Patrick J. Rouse 17 and Shama Haider, operating under the name Tenafly Democratic Campaign 2004 18 ("Tenafly"), sponsored a direct mailer to residents in their borough that identified both 19 John Kerry for President and a congressional candidate without using an adequate 20 disclaimer. Additionally, the complainant alleges that a Kerry Edwards sign was placed 21 adjacent to a billboard featuring the Tenafly candidates that also carried a Kerry Edwards 22 bumper sticker. 23 Responses: The Tenafly candidates responded by noting that the mailing did contain a 24 25 disclaimer that stated "Your Local Democratic Candidates." They concluded that any 26 disclaimer violation was de minims. Additionally, the Tenafly candidates observed that 27 the Kerry Edwards sign that was placed next to their billboard was merely a yard sign. 28 The implication from their response is that the Tenafly candidates were not responsible 29 for the placement of the Kerry Edwards yard sign. Kerry-Edwards 2004 denied any 30 involvement with the billboard or mailer. 31

Date complaint filed: November 26, 2004

Responses filed: January 18, 2005 and February 11, 2005